

How does family literacy support children's reading development? Insights from low-literacy communities in rural Côte d'Ivoire



Akpé Yapo Hermann¹, Mary-Claire Ball³, Nana N'Goh¹, Fabrice Tanoh¹, Jo Hannon³, Kaja K. Jasińska^{2,4} ¹University Félix Houphouët-Boigny, ²University of Toronto, ³University of Delaware, ⁴Haskins Laboratories

higher SES.

INTRODUCTION

In rural Côte d'Ivoire, households may consist of immediate family (i.e. mother, father, siblings) and extended family (i.e. grandparents, aunts, uncles, cousins) and primarily speak one of 70 lvorian languages.¹ Additionally, some households speak French, the language of literacy.

Yet, some family members in the home may not speak French, and may be illiterate (i.e. cannot read or write in French). Literacy rates are lower particularly among women versus men (adult female literacy: 40.5%. adult male literacy: 53.7%)² and in rural versus urban areas.³

In low-and-middle income countries, parent literacy (particularly maternal) has been associated with children's literacy attainment,4,5 but older children and extended family members frequently participate in children's literacy practices and provide reading support.6,7,8,9

Examining which family member's literacy status (i.e. parents, siblings, or extended family) contributes most to children's literacy skills will help us understand how best to leverage literacy within the home to improve children's reading, closing the literacy gap within communities.

Research Question: How does the literacy status of different family members contribute to children's reading skills?

Prediction: Family members' literacy status will contribute differently to children's reading skills.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

Children (N= 3014) in 1st, 3rd, and 5th grade of primary school.

M N= 1731 **M** N= 1887

- 1. Participant background questionnaire: family structure, literate family members, languages spoken at home, SES (Family Socioeconomic Status - 15-item household inventory)
- 2. a) French Language Assessment (EGRA)^{10,11,12,13,14}
 - Phonological awareness
 - Vocabulary

b) French Reading Assessment^{10,13}

- Letter reading
- Word reading
- Pseudoword reading

Contact: Akpe Y. Hermann, PhD:

akpermann@gmail.com Kaja Jasińska, PhD:

kaja.jasinska@utoronto.ca https://www.oise.utoronto. ca/boldlab



RESULTS 1: Home environment



Most children live with their mother, father, siblings, and extended family. If children do not live with their mom, they live with their dad and extended family. Overall, 59% of children reported living with their dad, 72% with extended family, 86% with siblings, and 74% with their mom

Lit Evt



SES

b(SE)

Literate Mother 0.46(0.12)**

Goodman Kruskal Tau test indicates that childrenwith literate mothers are more likely to have literate fathers

RESULTS 2 : Phonological awareness, vocabulary and reading scores

		PHONOLOGICAL	AWARENESS (PA)		VOCABULARY	READING TASKS			
	Identification	Initial Deletion	Final Deletion	Segmentation		Letter	Word	Pseudoword	
n(mean, SD)	2728 (5.484, 4.12)	2726 (2.63, 3.18)	2710 (6.22, 3.54)	2728 (3.03, 3.36)	2361 (5.30, 4.64)	2821 (25.22, 21.8)	2828 (11.55, 14.64)	2824 (8.32, 12.1)	

RESULTS 3 : Literacy scores and home environment

Linear Model: Language and Literacy scores = SES + Lit. Mother + Lit. Father + Lit. Siblings + Lit. Ext. Family

	PHONOLOGICAL AWARENESS (PA)							VOCABL	JLARY	READING TASKS							
	Identification Initial D		Deletion Final Deletion		letion	ion Segmentation				Letter		Word		Pseudoword			
	b(SE)	р	b(SE)	р	b(SE)	р	b(SE)	р	b(SE)	р	b(SE)	р	b(SE)	р	b(SE)	p	
SES	0.08(0.03)	0.016*	0.09(0.03)	0.001***	0.08(0.03)	0.004**	0.06(0.03)	0.035*	0.14(0.04)	<.001***	0.46(0.18)	0.013*	0.33(2.72)	0.007**	0.22(0.10)	0.033*	
Lit. Mother	-0.06(0.19	0.754	0.29(0.15)	0.048*	0.12(0.16)	0.505	0.10(0.16)	0.533	-0.06(0.22)	0.77	1.53(1.04)	0.139	1.79(0.69)	0.010**	1.46(0.57)	0.011*	
Lit. Father	0.17(0.19)	0.384	0.24(0.15)	0.107	-0.12(0.16)	0.468	0.23(0.16)	0.153	0.39(0.22)	0.069.	-0.79(1.03)	0.440	0.22(0.68)	0.744	0.13(0.57)	0.823	
Lit Sibling	0.04(0.19)	0.811	-0.30(0.14)	0.037*	0.05(0.16)	0.732	0.001(0.15)	0.992	-0.12(0.21)	0.556	-1.12(1.00)	0.265	-1.27(0.67)	0.057.	-0.83(0.55)	0.132	

Literate mothers and extended family members have a significant impact on children's initial phoneme deletion and word reading scores, and initial phoneme identification, final phoneme deletion, and letter reading scores, respectively. Literate fathers show a marginal impact on children's vocabulary scores. Literate siblings show a negative relationship with children's final phoneme deletion and word reading scores.

	Lette	Letter		rd	Pseud	oword		Lett	er	W	ord	Pseudo word	
	b(SE)	р	b(SE)	р	b(SE)	р	I	b(SE)	р	b(SE)	р	b(SE)	р
SES	1.73 (0.23)	0.082 .	3.15 (0.16)	0.001 **	2.81 (0.12)	0.004 **	Live with Sibling	-2.51 (0.23)	0.012 *	-3.60 (0.15)	0.0003	-3.08 (0.12)	0.002 **
Live with Mother	0.48(1.183)	00.6259	1.65 (1.22)	0.098.	1.66 (0.98)	0.096.	Live with Ext. Family	1.39 (1.52)	0.163	0.53 (1.02)	0.593	0.84 (0.82)	0.401
Live with Father	0.24 (1.47)	0.806	0.71 (0.98)	0.476	0.69 (0.78)	0.4894	Model shows a m a major impact children's langua their reading skill	of SES. I ge and lite	But, the n	umber of	siblings is n	egatively as	sociated w

CONCLUSIONS

Family members' literacy status contributes differently to children's reading skills. Maternal and extended family literacy are associated with better literacy skills in children. Higher SES is also associated with family literacy and with better literacy skills in children.

Results are more complex for siblings: children's literacy skills are poorer in households with more siblings. This may be due to caregivers' resources being divided among more children in the household.

Children's literacy skills are also poorer in homes with literate siblings. This negative relationship may be attributed to the greater likelihood that the child has literate siblings if there are more siblings living in the home, rather than a negative impact of sibling literacy. Additional analyses are needed to understand the role of sibling literacy more fully.

Interventions targeting mothers and extended family members in low-SES households may be effective for improving the literacy skills of all children in the home.

Acknowledgements

Funders: Jacobs Foundation Early Career Award 2015118455 (Jasińska, PI); Jacobs Foundation Science Capacity Building Grant 2015-1184 (Jasińska, PI), Jacobs Foundation Research Grant (Jasinska, co-PI).

We thank all our participants and research assistants in the BOLD lab.

References:
References:
Assawo, A. D. (2017). Langues maternelles et dél à l'émergence «horizon 2020»: quelles
realités pour la Côte d'Ivoire? Andéss, 2(24), 14.
UNESCO Institute for Statess, 2(24), 5.
UNESCO.
Integruise material environment of the statess, 2(24), 5.

3. PASEC. (2020). Qualité des systèmes éducatifs en Afrique Subsaharienne Francophone Performances et environnement de l'enseignement-apprentissage au primaire. Programme d'Analyse des Systèmes Éducatifs de la CONFEMEN.

Interventions in low-income countries, New Directions for Child and Advascent Leveagnerner, 155, 131–141. 5 Levine, R. A., Levine, S., Schneil-Anzola, B., Rowe, M. L., & Davker, E. (2012). Literacy and model and the country of the country of the country of the country of the country Online. https://doi.org/10.1039/aerg/069-1010/011-000-010.0011 6. Bergel, R. (2020). Literacy and child development in a contemporary African society. Child Development Preparetives, 14(2). 006-11th; 2(3),

Incture: Editabali II. 11 Vopci. H. K. (1955). A test for assessing phonemic awareness in young children. *The Reading Taccher*, 48(1), 2023; http://www.jbtr.org/aba/bit/2021/556 Editabalianessing and the second seco https://doi.org/10.3768/rtipress.2011.bk.0007.1109 14. Woodcock, R.W., McGrew, K.S., & Mather, N. (2001). Woodcock-Johnson III tests of achievement. Riverside Publishing